Digital Twin Modeling of Perioperative Analgesia Pathways in Arthroscopic Knee Surgery: An AI-Enabled Approach

Christopher Doldwell
Department of Biomedical Informatics, University of Vermont, Burlington, Vermont, USA.
c.doldwelll@uvm.edu

Sophia R. Bennett
Department of Industrial and Systems Engineering, Missouri University of Science and Technology, Rolla, Missouri, USA.
sophia.bennett@mst.edu

Michael Carver
School of Computing and Information Sciences, University of North Florida, Jacksonville, Florida, USA.
m.carver@unf.edu

Abstract

The growing complexity of perioperative pain management has intensified the demand for intelligent decision-support infrastructures capable of integrating patient-specific characteristics, procedural variables, and dynamic physiological responses throughout surgical care. Arthroscopic knee surgery represents an important clinical setting in which regional anesthesia, multimodal analgesia, and postoperative recovery trajectories interact through highly heterogeneous pathways. Traditional perioperative management approaches often rely on static protocols and fragmented data streams that limit real-time adaptation and individualized optimization. Recent advances in digital twin technologies and artificial intelligence have created opportunities to establish computational representations of patients and clinical processes capable of supporting predictive, adaptive, and continuously updated analgesic decision-making.

This paper proposes a comprehensive framework for digital twin modeling of perioperative analgesia pathways in arthroscopic knee surgery. The study examines how digital twins can integrate electronic health records, physiological monitoring systems, imaging data, anesthesia records, and patient-reported outcomes into unified computational environments that mirror perioperative conditions. Particular attention is given to system architecture, interoperability requirements, machine learning integration, governance mechanisms, clinical deployment challenges, and ethical considerations. The paper further investigates the role of digital twins in optimizing regional anesthesia selection, forecasting postoperative pain trajectories, evaluating resource allocation, and enhancing patient safety. Through a socio-technical systems perspective, the analysis highlights the opportunities and limitations associated with implementing AI-enabled digital twins within contemporary healthcare infrastructures.

The findings suggest that digital twin architectures can substantially improve perioperative decision support while introducing new challenges related to transparency, bias mitigation, cybersecurity, data governance, and long-term sustainability. Future healthcare ecosystems may increasingly rely on digital twin technologies as foundational components of intelligent perioperative care networks that support precision analgesia and continuous learning health systems.

Keywords

Digital Twin; Artificial Intelligence; Arthroscopic Knee Surgery; Perioperative Analgesia; Clinical Decision Support; Regional Anesthesia; Healthcare Systems; Predictive Analytics.

1. Introduction

Arthroscopic knee surgery has become one of the most frequently performed orthopedic procedures worldwide, encompassing diagnostic interventions, ligament reconstruction, meniscal repair, cartilage restoration, and various minimally invasive therapeutic applications. Although the surgical approach is generally associated with reduced tissue trauma compared with open procedures, postoperative pain remains a significant determinant of patient satisfaction, rehabilitation outcomes, hospital efficiency, and healthcare expenditures. Effective perioperative analgesia therefore represents a critical component of surgical quality and patient-centered care [1].

The evolution of perioperative medicine has progressively shifted from standardized treatment pathways toward personalized and data-driven approaches. Advances in regional anesthesia, multimodal analgesic strategies, enhanced recovery protocols, and perioperative monitoring technologies have expanded the range of available interventions. However, the growing complexity of clinical decision-making has simultaneously created challenges for clinicians who must interpret large volumes of heterogeneous information under significant temporal constraints [2].

Digital twin technology has emerged as a promising paradigm for addressing these challenges. Originally developed within manufacturing and aerospace sectors, digital twins create continuously updated virtual representations of physical entities and operational processes. Through bidirectional data exchange, these virtual models can simulate future states, evaluate intervention alternatives, identify risks, and support decision-making before actions are implemented in real-world environments [3]. The healthcare sector has increasingly recognized the potential of digital twins to support precision medicine, disease management, hospital operations, and personalized therapeutic planning [4].

Within perioperative care, digital twin models offer unique opportunities to integrate patient physiology, anesthesia delivery systems, pain management strategies, surgical workflows, and recovery trajectories into unified computational ecosystems. Such integration may enable predictive assessment of analgesic effectiveness, identification of high-risk patients, optimization of regional anesthesia strategies, and dynamic adaptation of pain management protocols throughout the perioperative continuum [5].

The objective of this study is to develop a comprehensive conceptual framework for AI-enabled digital twin modeling of perioperative analgesia pathways in arthroscopic knee surgery. Rather than focusing exclusively on predictive algorithms, the paper examines broader system-level considerations including infrastructure design, interoperability, governance mechanisms, deployment challenges, ethical implications, and sustainability requirements that influence successful implementation.

2. Theoretical Foundations of Digital Twins in Perioperative Healthcare

Digital twin systems differ fundamentally from conventional clinical decision support tools because they emphasize continuous synchronization between physical and virtual environments. Traditional predictive models often generate static risk estimates based on historical datasets. In contrast, digital twins continuously evolve as new data become available, enabling dynamic adaptation to changing clinical conditions [6].

In the context of arthroscopic knee surgery, the physical system encompasses patients, clinicians, anesthesia equipment, monitoring devices, medication administration systems, and postoperative recovery environments. The corresponding digital twin represents these components through interconnected computational models that process real-time information and generate clinically relevant insights. Such models extend beyond simple patient representations and incorporate workflow dynamics, organizational constraints, and environmental influences that affect analgesic outcomes [7].

The conceptual architecture of perioperative digital twins can be understood through three interacting layers. The first layer consists of data acquisition infrastructures that capture information from diverse sources including electronic health records, wearable devices, intraoperative monitoring systems, laboratory reports, imaging studies, and patient-reported outcome measures. The second layer comprises computational intelligence mechanisms responsible for data integration, predictive modeling, simulation, and optimization. The third layer involves decision support interfaces that communicate actionable recommendations to clinicians, administrators, and patients [8].

A particularly important characteristic of perioperative analgesia pathways is their dynamic and nonlinear nature. Pain experiences are influenced by physiological factors, psychological characteristics, surgical complexity, medication interactions, inflammatory responses, and social determinants of health. Consequently, effective digital twin systems must capture multidimensional relationships that evolve over time rather than relying on simplistic linear assumptions [9].

Recent developments in machine learning have strengthened the feasibility of such approaches. Deep learning architectures, reinforcement learning frameworks, probabilistic graphical models, and large language models increasingly provide mechanisms for modeling complex clinical phenomena across multiple temporal scales [10]. When embedded within digital twin environments, these technologies support continuous learning processes that improve predictive accuracy as additional data are accumulated.

The application of digital twins to regional anesthesia planning is particularly relevant for arthroscopic knee surgery. Existing evidence demonstrates the effectiveness of combined femoral and sciatic nerve blocks in reducing postoperative pain and improving perioperative outcomes [11]. However, individual patient responses remain highly variable, creating opportunities for digital twin systems to personalize intervention selection and dosage optimization.

3. System Architecture for Digital Twin–Enabled Analgesia Pathways

The successful implementation of digital twin systems within perioperative environments depends upon robust architectural foundations capable of supporting large-scale data integration, real-time analytics, and operational resilience. Healthcare institutions frequently operate fragmented information ecosystems in which data remain distributed across incompatible platforms. Consequently, architectural design represents one of the most significant determinants of digital twin effectiveness.

A comprehensive perioperative digital twin architecture begins with interoperable data pipelines that facilitate continuous information exchange among electronic health records, anesthesia information management systems, operating room technologies, wearable monitoring devices, and postoperative follow-up platforms. Interoperability standards such as Fast Healthcare Interoperability Resources have become increasingly important in supporting seamless communication across organizational boundaries [12].

The data integration layer serves as the central nervous system of the digital twin environment. This layer must address challenges associated with heterogeneous data formats, varying update frequencies, missing information, and inconsistent documentation practices. Advanced data engineering approaches enable the transformation of disparate datasets into unified representations suitable for machine learning and simulation applications [13].

Above the integration layer resides the computational intelligence framework. This component combines predictive analytics, simulation engines, optimization algorithms, and explainable AI mechanisms to generate clinically meaningful insights. For example, a digital twin may simulate multiple postoperative pain trajectories under different analgesic strategies, allowing clinicians to evaluate expected outcomes before implementing interventions. Such capabilities shift perioperative planning from reactive management toward proactive optimization [14].

The architecture must also incorporate robust feedback mechanisms. Unlike traditional decision support systems that provide one-time recommendations, digital twins require continuous updating based on newly observed patient responses. If a patient's postoperative pain levels differ substantially from predicted trajectories, the system should adjust its internal models accordingly. This adaptive capability supports individualized care while contributing to organizational learning processes [15].

Cybersecurity considerations constitute another essential architectural requirement. Digital twins aggregate highly sensitive patient information and often maintain continuous connectivity with clinical devices. Consequently, system vulnerabilities may have implications for patient privacy, operational continuity, and institutional trust. Security-by-design principles therefore represent critical components of sustainable implementation strategies [16].

From an operational perspective, cloud-based and hybrid computing architectures offer scalability advantages for digital twin deployment. Large healthcare organizations may process millions of data transactions daily, requiring computational infrastructures capable of supporting intensive analytics workloads without compromising performance. Hybrid architectures further enable institutions to balance security requirements with computational flexibility [17].

4. Artificial Intelligence Integration and Predictive Pain Modeling

Artificial intelligence serves as the analytical engine that transforms digital twins from passive data repositories into active decision-support ecosystems. The integration of AI within perioperative digital twins extends beyond predictive accuracy and encompasses explainability, adaptability, fairness, and clinical usability.

Pain prediction represents one of the most important applications of AI-enabled digital twins in arthroscopic knee surgery. Postoperative pain trajectories vary substantially across patients due to differences in demographic characteristics, genetic factors, psychological profiles, surgical complexity, inflammatory responses, and analgesic interventions. Conventional risk stratification approaches frequently fail to capture these multidimensional interactions, limiting their effectiveness in personalized care planning [18].

Machine learning models embedded within digital twins can continuously analyze perioperative data streams to identify patterns associated with pain outcomes. Historical patient records provide initial training datasets, while real-time monitoring information enables ongoing model refinement. This dynamic learning process supports increasingly precise predictions as institutional experience accumulates over time [19].

An important advantage of digital twin environments is their ability to support counterfactual simulations. Clinicians may evaluate how alternative analgesic strategies influence predicted outcomes before implementing actual interventions. For example, the system may compare expected recovery trajectories under combined femoral-sciatic blockade, adductor canal blockade, or multimodal pharmacological management. Such simulations provide valuable decision support while preserving clinician autonomy [20].

Explainability remains a critical requirement for healthcare AI deployment. Black-box models may achieve high predictive performance but often encounter resistance from clinicians who require transparent reasoning processes. Digital twin systems therefore benefit from explainable AI techniques that communicate the factors influencing recommendations. By providing interpretable insights regarding risk drivers, expected benefits, and associated uncertainties, the system can foster greater trust and facilitate clinical adoption.

Furthermore, AI integration must address fairness considerations. Historical healthcare datasets frequently reflect existing disparities associated with socioeconomic status, race, geographic location, and healthcare access. Without appropriate safeguards, machine learning models may inadvertently perpetuate these inequities. Consequently, fairness monitoring mechanisms should be incorporated into digital twin governance frameworks to ensure equitable performance across diverse patient populations.

5. Governance, Ethics, and Regulatory Considerations

The deployment of digital twin technologies in perioperative analgesia management introduces governance challenges that extend far beyond technical implementation. While digital twins promise enhanced personalization, predictive accuracy, and operational efficiency, they simultaneously create new responsibilities regarding data stewardship, algorithmic accountability, patient autonomy, and institutional oversight. Effective governance frameworks must therefore balance innovation with safety while ensuring that technological advancements contribute to equitable and trustworthy healthcare delivery.

One of the central governance concerns involves ownership and control of continuously generated clinical data. Unlike traditional medical records that primarily document completed events, digital twins maintain evolving representations of patients through persistent data collection and analysis. This continuous data lifecycle raises questions regarding consent mechanisms, secondary data use, commercial partnerships, and long-term storage obligations. Patients may agree to surgical treatment without fully understanding how their physiological information contributes to future predictive models. Consequently, healthcare organizations must develop transparent consent structures that clearly communicate the scope and implications of digital twin participation [21].

Algorithmic accountability represents another significant consideration. Clinical decisions supported by digital twins may influence medication selection, regional anesthesia strategies, postoperative monitoring intensity, and discharge planning. If adverse outcomes occur, determining responsibility among clinicians, software developers, healthcare institutions, and technology vendors becomes increasingly complex. Regulatory frameworks must therefore establish clear accountability structures that preserve clinician oversight while recognizing the growing influence of computational recommendations.

Ethical considerations also emerge from the predictive nature of digital twin systems. Forecasting postoperative pain trajectories, complication risks, or recovery probabilities may influence clinician behavior and patient expectations. Although predictive insights can improve planning and resource allocation, excessive reliance on algorithmic forecasts may unintentionally constrain individualized care. Healthcare professionals must therefore maintain the capacity to challenge or override model-generated recommendations when clinical judgment suggests alternative approaches.

Privacy protection remains particularly important because digital twins often integrate information from multiple sources, including wearable sensors, patient-reported outcomes, imaging systems, and electronic health records. The aggregation of these datasets increases the potential consequences of data breaches and unauthorized access. Advanced encryption strategies, federated learning approaches, and privacy-preserving analytics may help mitigate these risks while preserving analytical capabilities [22].

Regulatory agencies worldwide are gradually developing frameworks for artificial intelligence in healthcare. However, digital twins present unique challenges because they function as continuously evolving systems rather than static medical devices. Regulatory approaches designed for traditional software products may therefore prove insufficient. Future oversight mechanisms will likely require adaptive evaluation methodologies capable of monitoring model performance, bias indicators, safety outcomes, and clinical effectiveness throughout the operational lifecycle of digital twin deployments.

The governance landscape must also address transparency requirements. Clinicians and patients should understand the sources of information used by digital twins, the assumptions underlying predictive models, and the uncertainties associated with generated recommendations. Transparent communication fosters trust and supports informed decision-making while reducing the risk of overreliance on automated systems.

6. Clinical Deployment and Organizational Transformation

The successful implementation of digital twin technologies within perioperative analgesia pathways depends not only on technical excellence but also on organizational readiness. Healthcare institutions are complex socio-technical environments characterized by professional hierarchies, regulatory obligations, resource constraints, and deeply established workflows. Consequently, digital twin deployment should be viewed as an organizational transformation initiative rather than a purely technological project.

One of the primary barriers to implementation involves workflow integration. Clinicians already operate within information-rich environments where electronic documentation requirements, monitoring systems, and administrative tasks compete for attention. Introducing digital twin interfaces that increase cognitive burden may reduce adoption regardless of predictive performance. Effective deployment therefore requires careful alignment between technological capabilities and clinical workflow realities [4].

Interdisciplinary collaboration plays a critical role in this process. Perioperative analgesia pathways involve anesthesiologists, orthopedic surgeons, nursing staff, rehabilitation specialists, pharmacists, informaticians, and healthcare administrators. Each stakeholder group possesses unique perspectives regarding clinical priorities, operational constraints, and acceptable risk thresholds. Digital twin development efforts that fail to incorporate diverse stakeholder input may generate technically sophisticated systems that lack practical relevance.

Training and workforce development represent additional organizational challenges. The introduction of AI-enabled decision support tools requires new competencies in data interpretation, model evaluation, and human-machine collaboration. Healthcare professionals must understand both the capabilities and limitations of digital twins to use them effectively. Educational programs should therefore emphasize critical engagement with AI recommendations rather than passive acceptance.

Institutional culture significantly influences deployment outcomes. Organizations that embrace continuous improvement, data-driven decision-making, and interdisciplinary innovation may adapt more readily to digital twin technologies. Conversely, environments characterized by resistance to change or fragmented communication structures may encounter substantial implementation obstacles. Leadership commitment is therefore essential for establishing supportive conditions that facilitate adoption.

Economic considerations further shape organizational decisions. Developing and maintaining digital twin infrastructures requires substantial investments in data platforms, computational resources, cybersecurity systems, workforce training, and governance mechanisms. Healthcare institutions must evaluate these costs against anticipated benefits including improved patient outcomes, reduced complications, enhanced efficiency, and optimized resource utilization. Long-term value creation often depends upon achieving sufficient scale and integration across multiple clinical domains rather than isolated pilot projects.

Case-based analyses from broader healthcare digital transformation initiatives suggest that successful deployment frequently occurs through incremental implementation strategies. Rather than attempting comprehensive institutional transformation, organizations may initially focus on specific use cases such as postoperative pain prediction or regional anesthesia optimization. These targeted applications can generate evidence regarding effectiveness while building stakeholder confidence and organizational expertise.

Digital twins may also facilitate new forms of collaboration between healthcare providers and patients. Personalized simulations can help patients understand treatment options, anticipated recovery trajectories, and potential risks. Such capabilities support shared decision-making and may improve patient engagement throughout the perioperative journey.

7. Sustainability, Robustness, and Infrastructure Resilience

As healthcare systems increasingly depend upon digital technologies, sustainability and resilience become essential design objectives. Digital twins must operate reliably under diverse conditions while remaining adaptable to evolving clinical requirements, technological advancements, and regulatory expectations. Consequently, long-term success depends upon infrastructure strategies that extend beyond immediate implementation goals.

Sustainability encompasses financial, technical, organizational, and environmental dimensions. Financial sustainability requires business models capable of supporting ongoing maintenance, software updates, cybersecurity enhancements, and workforce development.

Many healthcare organizations struggle to sustain innovative technologies after initial funding periods conclude. Digital twin initiatives must therefore demonstrate measurable value that justifies continued investment.

Technical sustainability involves maintaining interoperability amid rapidly changing technology ecosystems. Healthcare information systems frequently undergo upgrades, vendor transitions, and regulatory modifications. Digital twin architectures should therefore emphasize modularity and standards-based integration to reduce dependency on specific technologies or vendors [12]. Such flexibility supports long-term adaptability while minimizing disruption during system evolution.

Robustness refers to the capacity of digital twins to maintain reliable performance despite uncertainty, incomplete information, or unexpected conditions. Clinical environments are inherently complex and unpredictable. Data quality issues, device failures, missing records, and unusual patient presentations occur regularly. Robust systems must identify anomalies, quantify uncertainty, and communicate confidence levels appropriately rather than generating misleading recommendations.

Infrastructure resilience has become increasingly important as healthcare organizations confront cybersecurity threats, supply chain disruptions, and operational emergencies. Digital twin platforms should incorporate redundancy mechanisms, disaster recovery capabilities, and continuous monitoring processes that support operational continuity. The importance of such measures became particularly evident during large-scale healthcare disruptions associated with global public health emergencies [23].

Environmental sustainability represents an emerging consideration in healthcare informatics. Advanced AI models and large-scale data infrastructures can require significant computational resources and energy consumption. Healthcare institutions are increasingly expected to align technological innovation with broader sustainability objectives. Efficient model architectures, responsible computing practices, and optimized infrastructure utilization may therefore become important evaluation criteria for future digital twin implementations.

The resilience of digital twins also depends upon maintaining public trust. High-profile failures involving healthcare AI systems could undermine confidence in digital innovation more broadly. Transparent governance, rigorous validation, ethical oversight, and continuous performance monitoring therefore contribute not only to technical reliability but also to social legitimacy.

8. Future Directions for Intelligent Perioperative Ecosystems

The future evolution of digital twin technologies is likely to transform perioperative care from episodic intervention management toward continuously adaptive health ecosystem coordination. Emerging advances in artificial intelligence, edge computing, wearable technologies, and precision medicine are creating opportunities for increasingly sophisticated forms of patient-specific modeling and decision support.

One promising direction involves the integration of multimodal data streams. Future digital twins may simultaneously incorporate physiological monitoring signals, imaging data, genomic information, behavioral indicators, rehabilitation progress metrics, and environmental factors. Such comprehensive representations could enable more accurate characterization of pain mechanisms and recovery trajectories than currently achievable through isolated data sources [24].

Large language models and advanced generative AI systems may further enhance digital twin functionality by supporting natural language interaction, clinical documentation assistance, evidence synthesis, and personalized patient communication. These capabilities could improve accessibility while reducing administrative burdens associated with complex decision-support systems.

Another important development involves the emergence of population-level digital twins. Whereas individual digital twins focus on personalized care optimization, population twins model collective dynamics across healthcare organizations, regions, or entire health systems. Such approaches may support strategic planning, resource allocation, policy evaluation, and public health preparedness. Insights generated at the population level could simultaneously inform individual patient care, creating interconnected learning ecosystems.

The concept of autonomous clinical optimization also warrants consideration. Future digital twins may not merely provide recommendations but actively coordinate aspects of perioperative care through integration with scheduling systems, medication management platforms, monitoring devices, and rehabilitation programs. However, such developments will require careful attention to governance, accountability, and human oversight.

International collaboration may accelerate progress in this domain. Federated learning frameworks enable institutions to share knowledge without transferring sensitive patient data directly [25]. Such approaches could support the development of more generalizable digital twin models while preserving privacy protections. Cross-institutional collaboration is particularly important for arthroscopic knee surgery because patient populations, clinical practices, and healthcare infrastructures vary substantially across regions.

Ultimately, the future of perioperative digital twins will depend upon achieving a balance between technological sophistication and practical usability. Systems that prioritize explainability, trustworthiness, and clinical relevance are more likely to achieve sustained adoption than those focused exclusively on predictive performance.

9. Conclusion

Digital twin technology represents a transformative approach to modeling perioperative analgesia pathways in arthroscopic knee surgery. By creating continuously updated computational representations of patients, clinical workflows, and healthcare environments, digital twins offer unprecedented opportunities for predictive decision support, personalized pain management, and organizational learning. The integration of artificial intelligence enables these systems to move beyond static risk assessment toward dynamic optimization of perioperative care.

This study has examined digital twin implementation from a system-level perspective, emphasizing architecture, governance, deployment, sustainability, and future development pathways. The analysis demonstrates that successful implementation requires more than advanced predictive algorithms. Interoperable infrastructures, transparent governance mechanisms, robust cybersecurity protections, workforce readiness, and organizational transformation strategies are equally important determinants of success.

Digital twins have the potential to improve postoperative pain outcomes, enhance resource utilization, support individualized regional anesthesia planning, and strengthen healthcare system resilience. However, significant challenges remain regarding privacy protection, algorithmic fairness, regulatory oversight, and long-term sustainability. Addressing these issues will require interdisciplinary collaboration among clinicians, engineers, policymakers, data scientists, and healthcare administrators.

As healthcare systems continue their transition toward precision medicine and learning health system models, digital twins are likely to become foundational components of intelligent perioperative ecosystems. Their ultimate value will depend upon the ability of institutions to deploy these technologies responsibly, equitably, and in ways that strengthen rather than replace human clinical expertise.

References

1. [1] Kehlet, H., & Dahl, J. B. (2003). Anaesthesia, surgery, and challenges in postoperative recovery. The Lancet, 362(9399), 1921–1928.
2. [2] Gan, T. J. (2017). Poorly controlled postoperative pain: Prevalence, consequences, and prevention. Journal of Pain Research, 10, 2287–2298.
3. [3] Grieves, M., & Vickers, J. (2017). Digital twin: Mitigating unpredictable, undesirable emergent behavior in complex systems. In Transdisciplinary Perspectives on Complex Systems (pp. 85–113). Springer.
4. [4] Corral-Acero, J., Margara, F., Marciniak, M., et al. (2020). The ‘Digital Twin’ to enable the vision of precision cardiology. European Heart Journal, 41(48), 4556–4564.
5. [5] Topol, E. J. (2019). High-performance medicine: The convergence of human and artificial intelligence. Nature Medicine, 25(1), 44–56.
6. [6] Fuller, A., Fan, Z., Day, C., & Barlow, C. (2020). Digital twin: Enabling technologies, challenges and open research. IEEE Access, 8, 108952–108971.
7. [7] Bruynseels, K., Santoni de Sio, F., & van den Hoven, J. (2018). Digital twins in health care: Ethical implications of an emerging engineering paradigm. Frontiers in Genetics, 9, 31.
8. [8] Tao, F., Zhang, H., Liu, A., & Nee, A. Y. C. (2019). Digital twin in industry: State-of-the-art. IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics, 15(4), 2405–2415.
9. [9] Raja, S. N., Carr, D. B., Cohen, M., et al. (2020). The revised International Association for the Study of Pain definition of pain. Pain, 161(9), 1976–1982.
10. [10] Esteva, A., Robicquet, A., Ramsundar, B., et al. (2019). A guide to deep learning in healthcare. Nature Medicine, 25(1), 24–29.
11. 金子, 吴川, 王秀丽, & 刘朋. (2014). 股神经联合坐骨神经阻滞用于膝关节镜诊治术. 实用医学杂志, 30(4), 666-667.
12. [12] Mandel, J. C., Kreda, D. A., Mandl, K. D., et al. (2016). SMART on FHIR: A standards-based, interoperable apps platform for electronic health records. Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association, 23(5), 899–908.
13. [13] Krumholz, H. M. (2014). Big data and new knowledge in medicine. Health Affairs, 33(7), 1163–1170.
14. [14] Sendak, M. P., D’Arcy, J., Kashyap, S., et al. (2020). A path for translation of machine learning products into healthcare delivery. EMJ Innovations, 4(1), 44–52.

15. [15] Bates, D. W., & Singh, H. (2018). Two decades since To Err Is Human: An assessment of progress and emerging priorities in patient safety. Health Affairs, 37(11), 1736–1743.
16. [16] Kruse, C. S., Frederick, B., Jacobson, T., & Monticone, D. K. (2017). Cybersecurity in healthcare: A systematic review of modern threats and trends. Technology and Health Care, 25(1), 1–10.
17. [17] Raghupathi, W., & Raghupathi, V. (2014). Big data analytics in healthcare. Health Information Science and Systems, 2(1), 3.
18. [18] Gerbershagen, H. J., Aduckathil, S., van Wijck, A. J. M., et al. (2013). Pain intensity on the first day after surgery. Anesthesiology, 118(4), 934–944.
19. [19] Miotto, R., Wang, F., Wang, S., Jiang, X., & Dudley, J. T. (2018). Deep learning for healthcare: Review, opportunities and challenges. Briefings in Bioinformatics, 19(6), 1236–1246.
20. [20] Hashimoto, D. A., Witkowski, E., Gao, L., Meireles, O., & Rosman, G. (2018). Artificial intelligence in anesthesiology. Anesthesiology, 129(4), 823–828.
21. [21] Price, W. N., & Cohen, I. G. (2019). Privacy in the age of medical big data. Nature Medicine, 25(1), 37–43.
22. [22] Kaissis, G., Makowski, M., Rückert, D., & Braren, R. (2020). Secure, privacy-preserving and federated machine learning in medical imaging. Nature Machine Intelligence, 2(6), 305–311.
23. [23] Keesara, S., Jonas, A., & Schulman, K. (2020). Covid-19 and health care's digital revolution. New England Journal of Medicine, 382(23), e82.
24. [24] Hood, L., & Friend, S. H. (2011). Predictive, personalized, preventive, participatory medicine. Nature Reviews Clinical Oncology, 8(3), 184–187.
25. [25] Rieke, N., Hancox, J., Li, W., et al. (2020). The future of digital health with federated learning. NPJ Digital Medicine, 3(1), 119.