# Graph Neural Networks for Functional Variant Prediction in Human Immunogenomics

Jeremy A. Lyons
Department of Biomedical Informatics, University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences
jeremy1980@uams.edu

Kasper Korhonen
Department of Computer Science, University of Vermont
kasper41@uvm.edu

**Abstract**

Human immunogenomics has emerged as one of the most data-intensive and biologically complex domains within contemporary biomedical science. The rapid expansion of sequencing technologies, single-cell profiling systems, long-read genomic reconstruction, and population-scale immunological databases has generated unprecedented opportunities for identifying functional genetic variants associated with immune regulation, disease susceptibility, therapeutic response, and population health disparities. Conventional computational methods for functional variant prediction, however, remain constrained by their limited ability to model relational biological structures, multi-scale dependencies, and dynamic interactions among genes, proteins, epigenetic systems, and cellular microenvironments. Graph neural networks have recently gained substantial attention as a promising computational paradigm capable of integrating heterogeneous immunogenomic data into structured relational representations that preserve biological topology and contextual dependencies. This paper examines the role of graph neural networks in functional variant prediction within human immunogenomics from a systems-oriented perspective emphasizing architectural design, infrastructure scalability, biological interpretability, fairness, governance, robustness, and translational deployment. The discussion analyzes graph-based learning frameworks for immune-related variant prioritization, antigen presentation prediction, autoimmune disease stratification, and precision immunotherapy optimization. The paper further evaluates the institutional and infrastructural challenges associated with large-scale immunogenomic graph construction, including data harmonization, privacy protection, algorithmic bias, reproducibility limitations, and sustainability concerns in computational biomedical research. Cross-domain comparisons with systems biology, network medicine, and biomedical knowledge graph engineering are incorporated to contextualize emerging methodological directions. The study concludes that graph neural networks represent a transformative framework for immunogenomic inference but require integrated governance, interdisciplinary validation, and ethically informed deployment mechanisms to achieve reliable clinical translation across diverse populations and healthcare systems.

**Keywords**

Graph neural networks; immunogenomics; functional variant prediction; precision medicine; biomedical artificial intelligence; systems biology; computational genomics; network medicine; translational bioinformatics; healthcare infrastructure.

## 1. Introduction

Human immunogenomics occupies a critical position at the intersection of genomics, systems immunology, computational biology, and precision medicine. The growing availability of high-throughput sequencing platforms has enabled researchers to identify massive numbers of genetic variants associated with immune system regulation and disease susceptibility across diverse populations [1][2]. Despite these advances, distinguishing functionally significant variants from biologically neutral genomic variation remains one of the central challenges in biomedical informatics and translational genomics. Immune-related genomic systems are particularly difficult to model because they exhibit extensive polymorphism, complex regulatory interactions, context-dependent activation pathways, and dynamic relationships between molecular and environmental processes [3].

Traditional computational approaches for functional variant prediction have relied heavily on statistical association analyses, sequence conservation metrics, handcrafted feature engineering, and independent machine learning classifiers trained on relatively simplified genomic representations [4]. While these techniques have contributed substantially to variant annotation pipelines, they often fail to capture the interconnected structure of biological systems. The immune system operates through dense networks of cellular communication, antigen presentation pathways, cytokine signaling cascades, epigenetic regulation, and environmental feedback mechanisms. Functional interpretation of immune-related variants therefore requires computational architectures capable of modeling relational complexity rather than isolated genomic features [5].

Graph neural networks have emerged as a powerful response to this limitation. Unlike conventional deep learning architectures that primarily process Euclidean data structures such as sequences or matrices, graph neural networks operate on relational topologies in which nodes and edges encode biologically meaningful interactions [6]. In immunogenomics, graph representations can incorporate genes, proteins, immune receptors, regulatory elements, cell states, signaling pathways, and disease phenotypes within unified computational frameworks. These representations enable models to learn contextual dependencies that more accurately reflect the organizational principles of biological systems [7].

The significance of graph neural networks in immunogenomics extends beyond predictive performance alone. Their adoption reflects broader transformations in biomedical infrastructure, including the integration of heterogeneous data ecosystems, the expansion of collaborative research networks, and the increasing reliance on artificial intelligence for clinical decision support [8]. As healthcare systems move toward precision immunology and individualized therapeutics, scalable graph-based architectures may become foundational components of diagnostic pipelines, immunotherapy design, autoimmune disease management, and population-level surveillance systems [9].

This paper explores graph neural networks for functional variant prediction in human immunogenomics through a systems-oriented lens emphasizing architecture, governance, deployment, fairness, and translational sustainability. Rather than focusing narrowly on algorithmic optimization, the analysis situates graph learning within the broader socio-technical infrastructure of biomedical research and clinical implementation. The discussion synthesizes developments across computational genomics, network medicine, machine learning systems engineering, and healthcare policy to evaluate both the opportunities and structural limitations of graph-based immunogenomic inference.

## 2. Immunogenomic Complexity and the Challenge of Functional Variant Prediction

The human immune system constitutes one of the most genetically diverse and evolutionarily dynamic biological systems. Immune-related genomic regions such as the human leukocyte antigen complex, T-cell receptor loci, cytokine signaling genes, and innate immune regulatory networks exhibit extraordinary polymorphism and extensive population-specific variation [10]. This diversity enables adaptive responses to environmental pathogens but simultaneously complicates computational interpretation of genomic function.

Functional variant prediction in immunogenomics differs fundamentally from prediction tasks in more structurally stable genomic domains. Variants associated with immune activity frequently display conditional effects dependent on tissue specificity, microbial exposure, age-related immune remodeling, epigenetic states, and population ancestry [11]. Furthermore, many immune-associated diseases emerge not from single pathogenic variants but from cumulative network perturbations involving multiple interacting loci and regulatory systems.

Autoimmune disorders, inflammatory syndromes, infectious disease susceptibility, and cancer immunotherapy responses all reflect complex multi-layered interactions rather than linear genetic causation [12].

Conventional predictive pipelines often struggle because they isolate variants from their broader biological context. Sequence-based models may identify evolutionary conservation patterns yet overlook functional dependencies embedded within signaling pathways or chromatin architecture. Similarly, genome-wide association studies provide valuable statistical associations but frequently lack mechanistic interpretability regarding how identified variants influence immune function [13]. The resulting gap between statistical association and biological causation has limited the translational utility of many immunogenomic discoveries.

The rise of multi-omics technologies has further intensified computational complexity. Contemporary immunogenomic research increasingly incorporates transcriptomics, epigenomics, proteomics, metabolomics, spatial imaging, and single-cell sequencing into integrated analytical pipelines [14]. Each modality introduces distinct data structures, temporal dynamics, and measurement uncertainties. Functional prediction therefore requires computational frameworks capable of integrating heterogeneous data modalities while preserving relational dependencies among biological entities.

Long-read sequencing technologies have also transformed immunogenomic analysis by improving structural variant detection and haplotype reconstruction within highly polymorphic immune gene regions [15]. These developments have generated more accurate representations of immune genomic architecture but have simultaneously expanded the dimensionality and structural complexity of variant interpretation tasks. As a result, researchers increasingly require learning systems that can accommodate hierarchical biological networks rather than simplified genomic feature vectors.

Graph neural networks are particularly suited to these challenges because they enable direct representation of biological relationships. Nodes may encode variants, genes, proteins, cell types, or disease phenotypes, while edges represent regulatory interactions, co-expression relationships, protein binding events, chromatin proximity, or signaling dependencies [16]. This relational framework permits the propagation of contextual information across interconnected biological systems, thereby improving the identification of variants whose functional significance emerges only through network-level interactions.

The relevance of graph-based modeling becomes especially apparent in immunogenomics because immune responses are intrinsically networked phenomena. Antigen recognition, cellular activation, inflammatory signaling, and immune memory formation all depend on coordinated communication across distributed biological systems. Computational architectures that ignore relational topology risk oversimplifying the mechanisms through which immune-associated variants exert their effects [17].

## 3. Graph Neural Network Architectures in Immunogenomic Modeling

Graph neural network architectures have evolved rapidly over the past decade, producing a diverse ecosystem of computational approaches applicable to immunogenomic analysis. These architectures differ in their aggregation mechanisms, relational encoding strategies, scalability properties, and interpretability characteristics. Within immunogenomics, architectural selection significantly influences the capacity of models to capture biologically meaningful interactions across molecular, cellular, and population scales.

Early graph convolutional networks introduced the principle of neighborhood aggregation, allowing node representations to incorporate information from adjacent graph structures [18]. In immunogenomics, this mechanism enables variants to inherit contextual information from neighboring genes, regulatory elements, or protein interaction networks. Such aggregation is particularly valuable for identifying variants whose functional consequences emerge indirectly through pathway perturbations rather than direct molecular disruption.

Graph attention networks subsequently expanded this paradigm by assigning differential importance to neighboring nodes during representation learning [19]. Attention mechanisms are highly relevant to immunological systems because biological interactions are rarely uniform. Certain signaling pathways, transcription factors, or immune receptors exert disproportionately influential effects depending on disease context and cellular environment. Attention-based graph learning therefore provides a more biologically plausible framework for modeling selective immunological dependencies.

Heterogeneous graph neural networks represent another important development because immunogenomic data are inherently multi-typed. Immune systems involve interactions among genes, proteins, metabolites, cell states, pathogens, clinical phenotypes, and environmental exposures. Heterogeneous architectures allow these diverse entities to coexist within unified graph representations while preserving semantic distinctions among relationship categories [20]. This capability is particularly important for precision medicine applications where clinical variables and genomic information must be integrated simultaneously.

Temporal graph neural networks have gained increasing relevance in immunogenomics due to the dynamic nature of immune responses. Immune activation evolves over time through sequential signaling cascades, clonal expansion processes, and adaptive regulatory feedback mechanisms [21]. Static graph representations may inadequately capture these temporal dependencies, particularly in infectious disease progression and immunotherapy response prediction. Temporal architectures therefore offer improved modeling fidelity for longitudinal immune system analysis.

Knowledge graph integration has further expanded the scope of graph neural network applications in biomedical research. Biomedical knowledge graphs combine curated scientific literature, pathway databases, drug interaction repositories, clinical records, and experimental findings into interconnected relational systems [22]. When coupled with graph neural networks, these infrastructures enable functional variant prediction models to incorporate accumulated biomedical knowledge alongside observational genomic data. Such integration enhances interpretability while reducing reliance on purely data-driven inference.

Despite their advantages, graph neural network architectures introduce substantial computational and infrastructural challenges. Immunogenomic graphs may contain millions of nodes and billions of edges derived from large-scale sequencing projects, molecular interaction databases, and clinical registries. Training graph models on such datasets requires distributed computing infrastructure, memory-efficient sampling strategies, and scalable optimization pipelines [23]. Resource-intensive graph computation also raises sustainability concerns regarding energy consumption and institutional inequality in biomedical research capacity.

Architectural robustness represents another major challenge. Biological datasets often contain missing values, noisy measurements, incomplete annotations, and population imbalances. Graph neural networks may inadvertently propagate these errors across relational structures, amplifying uncertainty and bias [24]. Consequently, architectural design in immunogenomics must prioritize not only predictive accuracy but also resilience to heterogeneous data quality and incomplete biological knowledge.

## 4. Applications in Disease Prediction and Precision Immunology

Graph neural networks have demonstrated substantial potential across multiple domains of precision immunology and disease prediction. Their capacity to integrate heterogeneous biological information has enabled advances in autoimmune disease analysis, infectious disease susceptibility prediction, cancer immunotherapy optimization, and immune-mediated inflammatory disorder stratification.

In autoimmune disease research, graph-based models have improved the identification of functional variants associated with conditions such as rheumatoid arthritis, systemic lupus erythematosus, inflammatory bowel disease, and type 1 diabetes [25]. These diseases involve complex interactions among genetic susceptibility loci, environmental triggers, immune signaling pathways, and tissue-specific inflammatory responses. Traditional association studies often identify broad genomic regions linked to disease risk but struggle to determine which variants exert direct functional influence. Graph neural networks address this challenge by contextualizing variants within regulatory and signaling networks, thereby improving mechanistic interpretation.

Cancer immunogenomics represents another major application domain. Immune checkpoint blockade therapies, personalized cancer vaccines, and adoptive T-cell therapies all depend on accurate prediction of antigen presentation and immune recognition processes [26]. Graph neural networks have been applied to model peptide-major histocompatibility interactions, tumor microenvironment dynamics, and neoantigen prioritization. By integrating genomic mutations with protein interaction networks and immune signaling pathways, graph-based systems support more precise identification of therapeutically actionable variants.

In infectious disease research, graph neural networks have contributed to understanding host-pathogen interactions and differential immune responses across populations. During recent pandemic-related investigations, graph-based computational models were used to analyze immune regulatory pathways associated with viral susceptibility and inflammatory severity [27]. These systems integrated genomic variation, transcriptomic responses, and clinical phenotypes into relational frameworks capable of identifying functionally significant immune variants under rapidly evolving conditions.

Single-cell immunogenomics has also become a major frontier for graph learning applications. Single-cell sequencing technologies generate highly granular representations of immune cell heterogeneity, developmental trajectories, and activation states [28]. Graph neural networks can model relationships among individual cells, enabling identification of rare immune subpopulations and context-dependent regulatory mechanisms. This capability is particularly important for understanding tumor immune evasion, chronic inflammation, and vaccine response variability.

Population-scale immunogenomics presents additional opportunities and challenges for graph-based inference. Diverse population datasets reveal substantial variation in immune-related genomic architecture across ancestral groups. Functional variants identified in one population may display different biological effects or frequencies in another [29]. Graph neural networks capable of integrating population structure, ancestry information, and environmental context may improve the generalizability of precision immunology systems while reducing disparities in genomic medicine.

Emerging work on long-read immunogenomic reconstruction has further enhanced graph-based prediction capabilities. Improved haplotype resolution and structural variant detection enable more accurate representation of highly polymorphic immune regions [30]. The incorporation of these advances into graph learning frameworks supports more comprehensive modeling of immune gene architecture and functional diversity.

Importantly, successful deployment of graph neural networks in clinical immunology requires more than algorithmic sophistication alone. Translational implementation depends on interoperable data infrastructure, standardized annotation frameworks, clinician trust, regulatory oversight, and reproducible validation pipelines [31]. Many experimental systems achieve promising research performance yet remain difficult to operationalize within healthcare environments characterized by fragmented data systems and stringent regulatory requirements.

## 5. Interpretability, Fairness, and Governance Challenges

The expansion of graph neural networks in immunogenomics raises critical questions regarding interpretability, fairness, governance, and ethical deployment. These concerns are particularly important because immunogenomic predictions increasingly influence clinical decisions related to disease risk assessment, therapeutic selection, and population health management.

Interpretability remains a central challenge in graph-based biomedical artificial intelligence. Although graph neural networks provide richer relational representations than conventional machine learning models, their internal reasoning processes often remain opaque [32]. In clinical settings, healthcare professionals require explanations regarding why specific variants are classified as functionally significant and how predicted relationships align with established biological knowledge. Black-box inference systems may undermine physician trust and complicate regulatory approval processes.

Several interpretability strategies have been proposed, including attention visualization, subgraph extraction, pathway attribution analysis, and biologically constrained graph architectures [33]. However, interpretability in immunogenomics extends beyond technical transparency. Meaningful explanation must connect computational predictions to biologically plausible mechanisms that clinicians and researchers can validate experimentally. This requirement places substantial pressure on interdisciplinary collaboration among computational scientists, immunologists, geneticists, and clinical practitioners.

Fairness and representation bias constitute equally significant concerns. Many genomic databases remain disproportionately composed of populations with European ancestry, resulting in predictive models that generalize poorly to underrepresented groups [34]. In immunogenomics, these disparities may be particularly severe because immune-related genomic variation exhibits substantial population specificity shaped by evolutionary and environmental pressures. Graph neural networks trained on biased datasets risk reinforcing inequities in precision medicine access and diagnostic accuracy.

Bias propagation within graph structures presents additional challenges. Relational learning systems may amplify existing disparities because biased connections and incomplete annotations influence neighborhood aggregation processes throughout the graph [35]. Consequently, fairness interventions in graph neural networks require more than balanced sampling alone. Researchers must evaluate how structural relationships encode historical inequities and institutional biases within biomedical data ecosystems.

Privacy protection also represents a major governance issue. Immunogenomic data are highly sensitive because they contain information related to disease susceptibility, ancestry, familial relationships, and potentially stigmatizing health conditions [36]. Graph-based integration of multi-source biomedical data increases re-identification risks by linking genomic information with clinical and demographic variables. Federated graph learning, privacy-preserving computation, and secure distributed infrastructure are therefore becoming increasingly important for responsible deployment.

Regulatory oversight remains underdeveloped relative to the pace of technological advancement. Existing biomedical regulatory frameworks were largely designed for conventional statistical models rather than adaptive graph-based artificial intelligence systems capable of continuous relational learning [37]. Questions regarding accountability, liability, validation standards, and post-deployment monitoring remain unresolved across many healthcare jurisdictions.

Institutional governance challenges extend further into scientific reproducibility and infrastructure inequality. Large-scale graph neural network development often requires extensive computational resources available primarily to major research institutions and technology corporations [38]. This concentration of computational capacity risks centralizing control over biomedical artificial intelligence innovation while limiting participation from resource-constrained institutions and countries. Sustainable governance therefore requires policies promoting open scientific infrastructure, interoperable standards, and equitable access to computational resources.

## 6. Infrastructure, Scalability, and Translational Deployment

The successful integration of graph neural networks into immunogenomic research and clinical practice depends heavily on scalable infrastructure and sustainable deployment strategies. As biomedical datasets continue to expand in volume and complexity, computational infrastructure has become a defining determinant of scientific capability and translational feasibility.

Large-scale immunogenomic graph construction requires integration of heterogeneous datasets derived from sequencing platforms, biobanks, clinical registries, electronic health records, imaging systems, and biomedical literature repositories [39]. These datasets differ substantially in quality, structure, annotation standards, and temporal resolution. Harmonizing such information into coherent graph representations remains a major infrastructural undertaking requiring standardized ontologies, interoperable metadata frameworks, and robust data engineering pipelines.

Cloud computing and distributed graph processing systems have become increasingly central to scalable immunogenomic analysis. Distributed architectures enable researchers to process large biological graphs across geographically dispersed computing clusters while supporting collaborative multi-institutional research [40]. However, reliance on cloud infrastructure introduces concerns regarding data sovereignty, cybersecurity, vendor dependency, and long-term sustainability. Healthcare institutions must balance computational scalability with regulatory compliance and patient privacy obligations.

Energy consumption and environmental sustainability are emerging considerations in graph-based biomedical artificial intelligence. Training large graph neural networks on population-scale genomic datasets requires substantial computational power and energy expenditure [41]. As biomedical research institutions expand artificial intelligence operations, sustainability considerations may increasingly influence infrastructure design and funding priorities. Efficient graph sampling strategies, sparse computation methods, and environmentally conscious model optimization are therefore gaining strategic importance.

Clinical deployment introduces additional operational complexities. Immunogenomic prediction systems must integrate seamlessly with healthcare workflows, laboratory information systems, and clinical decision support platforms [42]. Real-world healthcare environments often contain fragmented data ecosystems, inconsistent interoperability standards, and variable technical maturity across institutions. Consequently, many graph neural network systems that perform effectively in research settings encounter substantial barriers during clinical translation.

Validation and monitoring infrastructure are equally important. Graph neural networks deployed in healthcare contexts require continuous performance evaluation to ensure reliability across evolving patient populations and changing clinical conditions [43]. Model drift, dataset shifts, and emerging biological knowledge may alter predictive validity over time. Robust monitoring frameworks capable of detecting performance degradation and unintended bias are therefore essential components of translational deployment.

Cross-disciplinary workforce development also constitutes a critical infrastructural requirement. Effective implementation of graph neural networks in immunogenomics demands expertise spanning machine learning engineering, computational biology, immunology, clinical informatics, ethics, and healthcare operations [44]. Educational systems and research institutions must therefore cultivate interdisciplinary training environments capable of supporting long-term translational capacity.

Recent advances in long-read immune gene typing frameworks further illustrate the infrastructural trajectory of immunogenomics. Comprehensive analysis systems integrating scalable sequencing reconstruction with computational interpretation pipelines demonstrate how graph-based learning may increasingly operate within broader biomedical infrastructure ecosystems [45]. Such developments highlight the growing convergence of sequencing technologies, artificial intelligence systems, and translational healthcare platforms.

## 7. Future Directions and Emerging Research Paradigms

The future of graph neural networks in immunogenomics will likely be shaped by convergence among artificial intelligence, systems biology, precision medicine, and global health infrastructure. Several emerging research paradigms may substantially redefine the capabilities and societal implications of functional variant prediction systems.

One important direction involves the integration of causal inference with graph representation learning. Current graph neural networks often identify statistical dependencies without establishing mechanistic causality [46]. Future systems may incorporate experimental perturbation data, longitudinal clinical observations, and biologically constrained causal architectures to improve mechanistic interpretability and translational reliability.

Foundation models for biology represent another rapidly evolving frontier. Large-scale pre-trained graph architectures capable of learning generalized biological representations across multiple omics domains may eventually support transferable immunogenomic inference across diseases and populations [47]. Such systems could reduce dependence on narrowly curated task-specific datasets while enabling broader generalization across biomedical applications.

Spatial immunogenomics is also expected to become increasingly important. Advances in spatial transcriptomics and tissue imaging technologies enable analysis of immune activity within anatomical microenvironments [48]. Graph neural networks capable of integrating spatial organization with molecular interaction data may provide deeper insight into tumor immunology, inflammatory tissue remodeling, and organ-specific immune regulation.

Synthetic biology and therapeutic engineering may further expand graph-based immunogenomic applications. As engineered immune therapies become more sophisticated, computational systems will increasingly support rational design of cellular therapies, synthetic receptors, and programmable immune interventions [49]. Graph neural networks may contribute to predicting functional outcomes and safety profiles within these highly complex engineered biological systems.

Global health considerations will likely exert growing influence on immunogenomic research priorities. Infectious disease emergence, climate-driven epidemiological shifts, and demographic transitions are reshaping the global landscape of immune-related disease burden [50]. Graph neural networks capable of integrating genomic, environmental, and epidemiological data may support more adaptive population-level surveillance and intervention strategies.

At the same time, future progress will depend heavily on governance innovation. Technical advancement alone cannot ensure equitable or trustworthy biomedical artificial intelligence systems. International standards for data sharing, privacy protection, algorithmic accountability, and population representation will become increasingly important as graph-based immunogenomic infrastructures expand across healthcare systems and national boundaries [51].

The relationship between commercial technology firms and public biomedical institutions will also shape future development trajectories. Large-scale graph learning systems often require computational resources and engineering expertise concentrated within private technology sectors [52]. Balancing commercial innovation with public scientific transparency and equitable healthcare access will therefore remain a central policy challenge.

Ultimately, graph neural networks may transform immunogenomics not simply by improving prediction accuracy but by redefining how biological knowledge is organized, interpreted, and operationalized within healthcare ecosystems. Their long-term significance will depend on whether technical innovation can be aligned with sustainable infrastructure, interdisciplinary collaboration, and socially responsible governance.

## 8. Conclusion

Graph neural networks represent a transformative computational paradigm for functional variant prediction in human immunogenomics. Their ability to model relational biological structures enables more comprehensive interpretation of immune-related genomic variation than traditional sequence-based or statistically isolated analytical methods. By integrating heterogeneous multi-omics information within biologically meaningful graph representations, these systems provide enhanced capacity to analyze complex immune interactions associated with autoimmune disease, infectious susceptibility, cancer immunotherapy, and precision medicine.

The significance of graph neural networks extends beyond predictive performance into broader questions of biomedical infrastructure, governance, sustainability, and healthcare transformation. Effective deployment requires scalable computational ecosystems, interoperable data standards, robust interpretability frameworks, and equitable population representation. Without careful attention to these structural dimensions, graph-based immunogenomic systems risk reproducing existing disparities and operational limitations within precision medicine.

Interpretability, fairness, privacy protection, and regulatory oversight remain central challenges for translational adoption. The relational nature of graph learning introduces unique opportunities for mechanistic understanding but also amplifies risks associated with bias propagation and opaque inference. Consequently, responsible innovation in immunogenomic artificial intelligence demands interdisciplinary collaboration spanning computational science, clinical medicine, ethics, policy, and systems engineering.

Future research directions involving causal graph learning, spatial immunogenomics, foundation biological models, and synthetic immune engineering suggest that graph neural networks may become foundational infrastructure for next-generation biomedical systems. Their long-term impact will depend not only on technical sophistication but also on the development of sustainable governance models capable of aligning computational innovation with public health priorities, scientific transparency, and global equity.

**References**

1. Barabási, A. L., Gulbahce, N., & Loscalzo, J. (2011). Network medicine: A network-based approach to human disease. Nature Reviews Genetics, 12(1), 56–68.

2. Bronstein, M. M., Bruna, J., Cohen, T., & Veličković, P. (2021). Geometric deep learning: Grids, groups, graphs, geodesics, and gauges. arXiv preprint arXiv:2104.13478.

3. Cho, H., Berger, B., & Peng, J. (2022). Compact integration of multi-network topology for functional analysis of genes. Cell Systems, 13(4), 311–327.

4. Cowen, L., Ideker, T., Raphael, B. J., & Sharan, R. (2017). Network propagation: A universal amplifier of genetic associations. Nature Reviews Genetics, 18(9), 551–562.

5. Eraslan, G., Avsec, Ž., Gagneur, J., & Theis, F. J. (2019). Deep learning: New computational modelling techniques for genomics. Nature Reviews Genetics, 20(7), 389–403.

6. Gaudelet, T., Malod-Dognin, N., & Pržulj, N. (2021). Higher-order molecular organization as a source of biological function. Bioinformatics, 37(17), 2890–2898.

7. Hamilton, W. L., Ying, Z., & Leskovec, J. (2017). Inductive representation learning on large graphs. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 30, 1024–1034.

8. Hasin, Y., Seldin, M., & Lusis, A. (2017). Multi-omics approaches to disease. Genome Biology, 18(1), 83.

9. Hu, Z., Dong, Y., Wang, K., & Sun, Y. (2020). Heterogeneous graph transformer. Proceedings of The Web Conference 2020, 2704–2710.

10. Jumper, J., Evans, R., Pritzel, A., et al. (2021). Highly accurate protein structure prediction with AlphaFold. Nature, 596(7873), 583–589.

11. Kipf, T. N., & Welling, M. (2017). Semi-supervised classification with graph convolutional networks. International Conference on Learning Representations.

12. Kulmanov, M., Hoehndorf, R., & Robinson, P. N. (2020). DeepGOPlus: Improved protein function prediction from sequence. Bioinformatics, 36(2), 422–429.

13. Li, Y., Kuwahara, H., Yang, P., Song, L., & Gao, X. (2019). PGCN: Disease gene prioritization by disease and gene embedding through graph convolutional neural networks. BMC Medical Genomics, 12(9), 168.

14. Ma, Y., Sun, Y., Xie, M., et al. (2021). Spatially informed cell-type deconvolution for spatial transcriptomics. Nature Biotechnology, 39(11), 1349–1359.

15. Min, S., Lee, B., & Yoon, S. (2017). Deep learning in bioinformatics. Briefings in Bioinformatics, 18(5), 851–869.

16. Rives, A., Meier, J., Sercu, T., et al. (2021). Biological structure and function emerge from scaling unsupervised learning to 250 million protein sequences. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 118(15), e2016239118.

17. Stärk, H., Ganea, O., Pattanaik, L., et al. (2022). EquiBind: Geometric deep learning for drug binding structure prediction. International Conference on Machine Learning, 20503–20521.

18. Stuart, T., & Satija, R. (2019). Integrative single-cell analysis. Nature Reviews Genetics, 20(5), 257–272.

19. Topol, E. J. (2019). High-performance medicine: The convergence of human and artificial intelligence. Nature Medicine, 25(1), 44–56.

20. Veličković, P., Cucurull, G., Casanova, A., et al. (2018). Graph attention networks. International Conference on Learning Representations.

21. Wang, S., Wang, X., Wang, M., Zhou, Q., Wang, L., & Li, S. C. (2026). A Scalable Framework for Comprehensive Typing of Polymorphic Immune Genes from Long-Read Data. Advanced Science, e21531.

22. Zitnik, M., Agrawal, M., & Leskovec, J. (2018). Modeling polypharmacy side effects with graph convolutional networks. Bioinformatics, 34(13), i457–i466.

23. Zitnik, M., Nguyen, F., Wang, B., et al. (2019). Machine learning for integrating data in biology and medicine: Principles, practice, and opportunities. Information Fusion, 50, 71–91.